https://doi.org/10.1038/s41596-020-0341-5

Check for updates

# Development of a plasma pseudotargeted metabolomics method based on ultra-high-performance liquid chromatography-mass spectrometry

Fujian Zheng<sup>1,2</sup>, Xinjie Zhao<sup>1,2</sup>, Zhongda Zeng<sup>3</sup>, Lichao Wang<sup>1,2</sup>, Wangjie Lv<sup>1,2</sup>, Qingqing Wang<sup>1,2</sup> and Guowang Xu<sup>1,2</sup>

Untargeted methods are typically used in the detection and discovery of small organic compounds in metabolomics research, and ultra-high-performance liquid chromatography-high-resolution mass spectrometry (UHPLC-HRMS) is one of the most commonly used platforms for untargeted metabolomics. Although they are non-biased and have high coverage, untargeted approaches suffer from unsatisfying repeatability and a requirement for complex data processing. Targeted metabolomics based on triple-quadrupole mass spectrometry (TQMS) could be a complementary tool because of its high sensitivity, high specificity and excellent quantification ability. However, it is usually applicable to known compounds: compounds whose identities are known and/or are expected to be present in the analyzed samples. Pseudotargeted metabolomics merges the advantages of untargeted and targeted metabolomics and can act as an alternative to the untargeted method. Here, we describe a detailed protocol of pseudotargeted metabolomics using UHPLC-TQMS. An in-depth, untargeted metabolomics experiment involving multiple UHPLC-HRMS runs with MS at different collision energies (both positive and negative) is performed using a mixture obtained using small amounts of the analyzed samples. XCMS, CAMERA and Multiple Reaction Monitoring (MRM)-Ion Pair Finder are used to find and annotate peaks and choose transitions that will be used to analyze the real samples. A set of internal standards is used to correct for variations in retention time. High coverage and high-performance quantitative analysis of 800-1,300 metabolites.

Introduction

Metabolomics is a part of systems biology<sup>1</sup>. The concentration and variation of metabolites can offer fresh insight into disease biochemistry<sup>2–4</sup>, toxicology<sup>5–8</sup>, pharmacology<sup>9,10</sup>, gene function<sup>11,12</sup>, early disease diagnostics<sup>13</sup>, the gut microbiome<sup>14</sup> and other areas<sup>15–17</sup>. The aim of metabolomics analysis is to comprehensively characterize the metabolites in biological samples both qualitatively and quantitatively. Because of the complexity of biological samples (serum, plasma, urine, tissue, etc.), fully characterizing all the metabolites in a sample is very difficult. The main analytical platforms used for metabolomics are <sup>1</sup>H-nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) spectroscopy and mass spectrometry (MS). <sup>1</sup>H-NMR can do non-invasive analysis and enables better metabolite annotation but has lower sensitivity. Protocols on the NMR analysis of different biological samples have been published<sup>18,19</sup>. MS is often coupled with separation techniques such as liquid chromatography (LC), gas chromatography and capillary electrophoresis. Gas chromatography–mass spectrometry (GC-MS) is suitable for the analysis of volatile organic compounds. For non-volatile compounds, derivatization is required to increase thermal stability and volatility and reduce analyte polarity<sup>20</sup>. However, liquid chromatography–mass spectrometry (LC-MS) can be used to analyze thermally labile metabolites without derivatization and is thus the most frequently used platform for metabolomics analysis.

Untargeted approaches do not assume any knowledge of what compounds might be present and could theoretically detect all compounds analyzable by the method used. Targeted approaches have a starting hypothesis of what compounds are present and might change in response to a stimulus;

<sup>1</sup>CAS Key Laboratory of Separation Science for Analytical Chemistry, Dalian Institute of Chemical Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Dalian, China. <sup>2</sup>University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing, China. <sup>3</sup>Dalian ChemDataSolution Information Technology Co. Ltd., Dalian, China. <sup>See</sup>-mail: xugw@dicp.ac.cn

the analysis is tailored to specifically look for these compounds. Untargeted methods are usually the first choice, because they provide non-biased, high coverage of the metabolome. This is important in the discovery phase where it is necessary to identify as many metabolites related to diseases or biological processes as possible. For untargeted LC-MS-based metabolomics analyses, the main MS platform is high-resolution MS (HRMS), which can be used to obtain the exact mass and tandem MS (MS<sup>2</sup>) information of metabolites. Abundant mass spectral information enables better characterization of the global metabolome. In general, untargeted metabolomics experiments suffer from high complexity and limited repeatability and have limited linear ranges (for detection and quantitation). In addition, HRMS systems require frequent maintenance and are expensive.

Targeted metabolomics is usually used in the verification phase and can be used for absolute quantification of metabolites of interest. Multiple reaction monitoring (MRM) using triple-quadrupole mass spectrometry (TQMS), which monitors both the specific precursor ion and product ion of each metabolite, is the most frequently used technique in targeted methods because it enables high sensitivity, high specificity and excellent quantification ability<sup>21,22</sup>. The continuous development of TQMS in terms of the efficiency of ionization, scanning rate and other features enables the analysis of tens to hundreds of metabolites simultaneously<sup>23,24</sup>. Generally, targeted metabolomics requires standards (i.e., pure, well characterized versions of the compounds in which you are interested) to find out which MRM transitions to use. Targeted metabolomics is used to determine and verify the precise identity of the compounds of interest, and once this is known, the method will be used for absolute quantification of these compounds.

The development of MS technology has enabled the detection of a large number of compounds within a targeted ultra-high-performance liquid chromatography–high-resolution mass spectrometry (UHPLC-HRMS) run<sup>25</sup>. For the purpose of establishing an alternative to the untargeted method that has a higher sensitivity, higher specificity and excellent quantification ability, a new strategy named pseudotargeted metabolomics<sup>26,27</sup> has been proposed that can monitor hundreds to thousands of metabolites by dynamic MRM. Abundant metabolite information is gained from the untargeted metabolic profiling of HRMS, thereby ensuring high coverage of the metabolome, and ultimately, the detection is performed by dynamic MRM, which guarantees high data quality.

The pseudotargeted method is also suitable for the analysis of large-scale samples<sup>28-30</sup>. GC-MS-based pseudotargeted metabolomics by calibrating gross and systematic errors has been applied to a metabolic profiling study of 1,197 plant samples in nine batches analyzed with two instruments<sup>29</sup>. Similarly, the LC-MS-based pseudotargeted metabolomics method by integrating a blank-wash, a pooled quality control (QC) sample and post-calibration<sup>28</sup> has been used in a large-scale, multicenter serum metabolite biomarker identification study for the early detection of hepatocellular carcinoma; 1,448 participants were recruited from six clinic centers<sup>30</sup>.

During the development of the pseudotargeted method, HRMS is used to acquire MS<sup>2</sup> information (the first step), and TQMS is used to perform high-coverage dynamic MRM analysis (the second step). The pseudotargeted methods are not limited to specific HRMS and TQMS instruments. For HRMS, Orbitrap and quadrupole time-of-flight (Q-TOF) have the ability to acquire abundant MS<sup>2</sup> spectra, and different TQMS in the dynamic MRM mode can be used in the second step. In the published articles, many combinations of HRMS and TQMS were reported, such as linear ion trap quadrupole (LTQ)-Orbitrap MS (Thermo Fisher) and QTRAP 5500 MS (AB Sciex)<sup>31</sup>, Triple TOF 5600+ (AB Sciex) and QTRAP 5500 MS (AB Sciex)<sup>32</sup> and Agilent 6510 Q-TOF MS and Agilent 6460 triple-quadrupole (QQQ) MS<sup>27</sup>. If a sample is analyzed on multiple different instruments, the results are similar (more detail is included in Anticipated results).

Many researchers have done interesting work based on pseudotargeted metabolomics to study the biochemistry of disease<sup>33–38</sup>, screening of biomarkers<sup>31,39–44</sup>, plant metabolomics<sup>32,45–49</sup>, traditional Chinese medicine<sup>50,51</sup>, exposure to pollutants<sup>52–56</sup> and other topics. In addition, some researchers developed their own methods by using the concept of a pseudotargeted method<sup>39–41,57–65</sup>. Pseudo-targeted methods have had a wide range of applications in metabolomics studies.

# Development of the protocol

Our research group first introduced the concept of pseudotargeted metabolomics<sup>26</sup> in 2012. In that work, a GC-MS-based untargeted method was modified by incorporating an algorithm developed to select ions for selected ion monitoring from all the detected metabolites. With this method, data from both known and as yet unidentified metabolites present in the samples can be collected by using the retention time-locking GC-MS-selected ion monitoring. The pseudotargeted metabolomics method

was extended to LC-MS in 2013<sup>27</sup>. However, construction of the pseudotargeted metabolomics method was still time consuming and laborious, especially the process of choosing ion pairs for monitoring from thousands of candidates. To define ion pairs automatically and systematically, the in-house software<sup>66</sup> 'Multiple Reaction Monitoring–Ion Pair Finder (MRM-Ion Pair Finder)' was developed, which made the process of defining the MRM transitions for untargeted metabolic profiling easier and less time consuming.

Compared with information-dependent acquisition (IDA), sequential window acquisition of all theoretical fragment ion (SWATH) affords higher coverage of MS<sup>2</sup> information because it can, in theory, gain fragmental information on all precursor ions <sup>67</sup>. A method to define MRM transitions based on SWATH MS acquisition, named 'SWATHtoMRM', has also been published<sup>41</sup>. Our group also suggested a pseudotargeted ion-pair selection method based on UHPLC-SWATH MS<sup>68</sup>.

Pseudotargeted metabolomics methods for urine<sup>31</sup>, serum<sup>27</sup> and plant samples<sup>69</sup> have been developed and further extended to a high-coverage pseudotargeted lipidomics method<sup>70</sup>. In these applications with different research purposes, the pseudotargeted methods have shown very good performance.

#### Comparison with other methods

Pseudotargeted metabolomics has been thought of as the second-generation metabolomics method and merges untargeted and targeted data-acquisition strategies<sup>25</sup>. In an untargeted method based on HRMS, exact mass and MS<sup>2</sup> information are used for identification, usually generating thousands of features and hundreds of identified metabolites. Unfortunately, the quantitative performance of untargeted methods is not as good as that of targeted methods based on the MRM in TQMS. Pseudotargeted metabolomics is an alternative method to untargeted metabolomics because it has higher sensitivity and a wider dynamic range than untargeted metabolomics and does not need complex feature detection or peak alignment processes; in addition, data from pseudotargeted metabolomics from different analysis batches are easily calibrated and integrated. On the other hand, it is convenient to build a quantitative method by using authentic compounds because the pseudo-targeted metabolomics is performed at MRM mode.

For typical targeted metabolomics, MRM transitions are obtained from standard compounds<sup>23,71,72</sup>; thus, targeted metabolomics is limited by the lack of standard compounds and is usually expensive. To improve coverage of the targeted method for the compounds without standards, the MS<sup>2</sup> spectral tag data library<sup>73–75</sup>, which is typically constructed by total-scan electron spray ionization (ESI) MS<sup>276</sup>, was reported. However, construction of an MS<sup>2</sup> spectral tag data library is complicated, and such a library contains a large amount of redundant data. Some public MRM transition repositories are available<sup>77</sup>, but the compatibility between different systems or sample types is usually not good. The MRM transitions used in pseudotargeted metabolomics come from the biological samples to be analyzed. Pseudotargeted methods, in contrast with the above targeted methods, have higher coverage and wider applicability.

#### Advantages

In pseudotargeted metabolomics, quantitative analysis with both high coverage and high performance of quantitative analysis can be realized. There is no need for complex feature detection or peakalignment processes, as in untargeted metabolomics. Moreover, data from pseudotargeted metabolomics are easily calibrated and integrated from different analysis batches in large-scale metabolomics analyses<sup>28</sup>. Most importantly, pseudotargeted metabolomics allows high coverage to be achieved with TQMS while retaining the original advantages of this detection technique so that laboratories with only TQMS and no HRMS can complete a conventional pipeline for high-coverage metabolomics research.

The MRM transitions in pseudotargeted metabolomics are based on the real biological samples to be analyzed; thus, more MRM transitions could be considered and included. IDA is performed at several different collision energy (CE) voltages, and abundant MS<sup>2</sup> information can be quickly acquired, greatly reducing the time required to optimize the TQMS parameters of each transition. XCMS and CAMERA are used to perform feature detection and annotation, and these software packages are suitable for the data formats of different manufacturers. The software MRM-Ion Pair Finder reported in 2016<sup>3</sup> is a systematic and automated software for acquiring characteristic MRM ion pairs by precursor ion alignment, MS<sup>2</sup> spectral extraction and reduction, characteristic product ion selection and ion fusion. In this protocol, MRM-Ion Pair Finder is updated to version 2.0 and reprogramed by R statistical scripting language (version 3.6.1). The codes were uploaded to GitHub

(https://github.com/zhengfj1994/MRM-Ion\_Pair\_Finder). The new version is more useful and is also suitable for negative ion mode.

# Limitations

There are still several limitations of pseudotargeted metabolomics that need to be addressed. First, some detected metabolites are not identified because the MRM transitions come from biological samples rather than standard compounds. Identification of unknown metabolites must be performed by using UHPLC-HRMS/MS and other methods. Second, the number of metabolites that can be detected in pseudotargeted metabolomics is limited by the UHPLC resolution and HRMS and TQMS scan rate. For example, the TSQ Altis triple-quadrupole mass spectrometer (Thermo Scientific) can simultaneously detect ~100 compounds with a cycle time of 1 s and dwell time of 10 ms or ~200 compounds with a cycle time of 1 s and dwell time of 5 ms. Third, pseudotargeted metabolomics as a semiquantitative method is most useful in the discovery phase in which the absolute quantitation is not mandatory. The pseudotargeted metabolomics would form part of an overall research project that may start with untargeted metabolomics, and the findings from the pseudotargeted metabolomics can be conveniently taken to inform a targeted metabolomics study to further validate the results obtained.

# **Experimental design**

The key factors to consider when designing pseudotargeted metabolomics experiments include (i) the sample for untargeted analysis, (ii) selection of internal standards (ISs), (iii) untargeted metabolic profiling data collection by using UHPLC-HRMS, (iv) MRM transition selection from the metabolic profiling data, (v) transformation of MRM transitions from HRMS to TQMS and (vi) validation of the pseudotargeted metabolomics method.

Pseudotargeted metabolomics methods have been developed for different body fluids<sup>27,31</sup> and plant samples<sup>69</sup>, and a pseudotargeted lipidomics method has also been established<sup>70</sup>. In this protocol, we used a plasma sample as an example. For other samples, the procedures of sample preparation and selection of ISs should be modified based on research objectives.

## Sample for untargeted analysis

To obtain comprehensive metabolite information for a given sample to be analyzed, untargeted metabolic profiling data collection by UHPLC-HRMS needs a sample that contains all the metabolites. A QC sample prepared by mixing equal quantities of the samples to be analyzed contains the most comprehensive set of metabolites and can be used to collect the metabolic profiling information via UHPLC-HRMS.

Some metabolites that exist in low concentrations in only one treatment group could be diluted to below detection limit if the QC mixture is prepared by mixing samples in all groups. To avoid this dilution effect, an equal aliquot from each treatment group can be mixed separately, and analysis of QCs from different groups can be performed separately<sup>37</sup>. In our routine experiment, in the sample preparation for untargeted metabolomics analysis step to extract the ion pairs, three times the volume of the QC sample as in the real sample analysis is used to obtain more information on metabolites in low concentrations. NIST Standard Reference Material for Human Plasma<sup>78</sup> (NIST SRM 1950) was used in this protocol so that other laboratories can repeat our experiment or directly use our MRM transitions to perform MRM analysis on UHPLC-TQMS.

#### Selection of ISs

In the pseudotargeted method, ISs are used for retention time calibration and peak area normalization. The selection of the ISs needs to satisfy the following conditions: (i) the retention times of the ISs should be evenly distributed in the chromatogram, (ii) ISs must not interfere with the detection of metabolites, (iii) the types of ISs should be diverse to meet the calibration needs of different metabolites and (iv) the IS must be stably detected in each sample.

It is also important that concentrations of each IS used result in a suitable response in the linear range of MS detection. Generally, the concentration of the standards should be similar to the concentration of the metabolites in the samples. The ISs must also be compounds that are not present in the sample itself, such as isotopically labelled versions of known metabolites (for example, L-phenylalanine- $d_5$ ) or compounds that do not occur naturally (for example, lyso-phosphatidylcholine (LPC) 19:0). Table 1 gives the ISs we used; different standards could be used depending on the research objectives.

method	
seudotargeted	
0	
ţ	
⊒.	
used	
Ss	
_	
-	
Table	

Name	Abbreviation	Volume (Iul)	Concentration (μg/ml)	ESI+				ESI-			
				Retention time (min)	Precursor ion (Da)	Product ion (Da)	CE (V)	Retention time (min)	Precursor ion (Da)	Product ion (Da)	CE (V)
Cholic acid-2,2,4,4-d <sub>4</sub>	CA-d <sub>4</sub>	ъ	0.1	11.27	395.3099	359.2888	15	14.05	411.3054	347.2380	-30
Chenodeoxycholic acid-2,2,4,4-d <sub>4</sub>	$CDCA-d_4$	15	0.3	13.05	379.3150	361.3044	30	15.00	395.3104	377.3784	-30
Decanoyl-L-carnitine-d <sub>3</sub> HCI (N- methyl-d <sub>3</sub> )	Carnitine C10:0-d <sub>3</sub>	7.5	0.15	10.14	319.2676	85.0306	30	I			
Hexadecanoyl-L-carnitine-d <sub>3</sub> HCl (N-methyl-d <sub>3</sub> )	Carnitine C16:0-d <sub>3</sub>	7.5	0.15	14.73	403.3615	85.0306	30	I			
Acetyl-L-carnitine-d <sub>3</sub> HCI (N- <i>methyl-</i> d <sub>3</sub> )	Carnitine C2:0-d <sub>3</sub>	7.5	0.15	0.81	207.1424	85.0306	15	I			
L-leucine-5,5,5-d <sub>3</sub>	Leu-d <sub>3</sub>	15	0.3	I				1.59	133.1062	133.1062	-15
Nonadecanoyl-2-hydroxy-sn- glycero-3-phosphocholine	LPC19:0	30	0.6	16.76	538.3867	184.0744	30	1			
L-methionine-( <i>methyl</i> -d <sub>3</sub> )	Met-d <sub>3</sub>	160	3.2	1.03	153.0772	107.0420	15	1.11	151.0626	49.9290	-15
L-phenylalanine (Ring-d <sub>5</sub> )	Phe-d <sub>5</sub>	30	0.6	1.60	171.1176	124.9170	15	3.26	169.0320	152.0756	-15
N-lauroyl-D-erythro- sphingosylphosphorylcholine	SM (d18:1/12:0)	10	0.2	19.11	647.5122	184.0735	30	I			
L-tryptophan-d <sub>5</sub> ( <i>indole</i> -d <sub>5</sub> )	Trp-d <sub>5</sub>	160	3.2	2.44	210.1285	192.0956	15	4.22	208.1139	120.0757	-15
L-valine-d <sub>8</sub>	Val-d <sub>8</sub>	160	3.2	1.02	126.1365	80.1250	15	0.88	124.1219	105.1550	-15
Palmitic acid-16,16,16-d <sub>3</sub>	FFA 16:0-d <sub>3</sub>	25	0.5	I				17.29	258.2518	258.2518	-15
Stearic acid-18,18,18-d <sub>3</sub>	FFA 18:0-d <sub>3</sub>	25	0.5	I				18.19	286.2831	286.2831	-15

# Untargeted metabolic profiling data collection by using UHPLC-HRMS

To collect as much metabolite information as possible, untargeted metabolic profiling analysis by using UHPLC-HRMS is performed. Thousands of metabolites can be measured simultaneously. Parameter setting should follow the manufacturer's advice and be optimized for the actual demand. IDA/data-dependent acquisition (DDA) is used to obtain MS<sup>2</sup> information. The different CE voltages should be chosen for different metabolites because of their chemical property differences. Some metabolites will not fragment under low CE voltages, while under high CE voltages some metabolites may overly fragment to smaller product ions so that no suitable characteristic product ions can be selected. To obtain the suitable product ions for each metabolite, several different CE voltages are set in parallel LC-MS runs. The CE voltage range is chosen such that most of the metabolites have good responses. In this protocol, we set the CE voltages at 15, 30 and 45 V.

#### MRM transition selection from the metabolic profiling data

Next, metabolic profiling data containing MS<sup>2</sup> information is obtained. The objective of this step is to define the most appropriate product ions for every precursor ion. We developed software named MRM-Ion Pair Finder in 2016<sup>66</sup>, which is a systematic and automated system for acquiring characteristic MRM ion pairs. In this protocol, MRM-Ion Pair Finder has been upgraded to version 2.0 and reprogramed by R statistical scripting language (version 3.6.1). The codes were uploaded to GitHub (https://github.com/zhengfj1994/MRM-Ion\_Pair\_Finder).

### Transformation of MRM transitions from HRMS to TQMS

To reduce the effect of different retention times between the different UHPLC systems of UHPLC-HRMS and UHPLC-TQMS, retention times are calibrated using ISs as described in Step 18 with the method described in ref.<sup>79</sup>.

The TQMS parameters for each metabolite need to be optimized because the parameters of HRMS and TQMS are not the same for different instruments, especially when they are from different companies. The parameters of HRMS should be considered as references, and the instrument manufacturer's advice should also be followed. For convenience, to define optimum CE voltage of each metabolite, we recommend testing it with three injections near the recommended optimized CE value (CE of HRMS and  $\pm 5$  eV); the optimal CE for each MRM transition is the voltage with the best MS response.

#### Validation of the pseudotargeted metabolomics method

This pseudotargeted method where the MRM transitions are derived from the real biological sample mix is robust and is not limited to a specific TQMS instrument; thus, researchers can choose their own available instrument for the experiments. However, before the established pseudotargeted method is used to carry out a metabolomics study, validation should be performed to optimize the analytical conditions. Pseudotargeted metabolomics merges untargeted and targeted methods; therefore, the analytical characteristics to optimize are similar to those of these two method types<sup>68,80</sup>, with a focus on repeatability, stability and linearity (more detail is included in the Procedure (Step 21) and Anticipated results).

The intraday and interday repeatability is evaluated according to the calculated coefficient of variation (CV) of each metabolite analyzed in different LC-MS runs. In large-scale metabolomics, stability can be evaluated by analyzing QC samples in an analytical sequence.

The relationship between the concentration and response of each metabolite is evaluated by calculating the linearity over a 2<sup>10</sup>-fold dilution series of the QC sample<sup>27,41</sup> because the detected metabolites in the pseudotargeted method are from biological samples, and it is impossible to get the standards of all metabolites.

# Materials

# Reagents

- Metabolites in Human Plasma (NIST, cat. no. NIST SRM 1950)
- Human plasma **! CAUTION** Follow all relevant ethical regulations and guidelines for the collection and use of human blood.
- HPLC-grade methanol (Merck, cat. no. 1.06007.4008) **!CAUTION** Methanol is toxic and highly flammable. Researchers should wear gloves and operate in a fume hood.
- HPLC-grade acetonitrile (Merck, cat. no. 1.00030.4008) **!CAUTION** Acetonitrile is toxic and highly flammable. Researchers should wear gloves and operate in a fume hood.

PROTOCO

• Formic acid (J&K, cat. no. F0654) **! CAUTION** Formic acid is corrosive and volatile. Researchers should wear gloves and operate in a fume hood.

• Ammonium bicarbonate, an eluent additive for LC-MS (Fluka, cat. no. 40867-50G-F) **!CAUTION** Ammonium bicarbonate is toxic and hydroscopic. Researchers should wear gloves and operate in a fume hood.

- Acetyl-L-carnitine-d<sub>3</sub> HCl (N-methyl-d<sub>3</sub>) (International Laboratory, cat. no. 377464)
- Decanoyl-L-carnitine-d<sub>3</sub> HCl (N-*methyl*-d<sub>3</sub>) (International Laboratory, cat. no. 349681)
- Hexadecanoyl-L-carnitine-d<sub>3</sub> HCl (N-methyl-d<sub>3</sub>) (International Laboratory, cat. no. 371939)
- L-leucine-5,5,5-d<sub>3</sub> (Sigma-Aldrich, cat. no. 486825)
- L-tryptophan-(*indole*-d<sub>5</sub>) (Sigma-Aldrich, cat. no. 615862)
- L-valine-d<sub>8</sub> (Sigma-Aldrich, cat. no. 486027)
- L-methionine-(methyl-d<sub>3</sub>) (Sigma-Aldrich, cat. no. 300616)
- Palmitic acid-16,16,16-d3 (Sigma-Aldrich, cat. no. 615951)
- Stearic acid-18,18,18-d<sub>3</sub> (Sigma-Aldrich, cat. no. 490393)
- Cholic acid-2,2,4,4-d<sub>4</sub> (Sigma-Aldrich, cat. no. 614149)
- Chenodeoxycholic acid-2,2,4,4-d<sub>4</sub> (Sigma-Aldrich, cat. no. 614122)
- Choline-1,1,2,2-d<sub>4</sub> bromide (Sigma-Aldrich, cat. no. 615552)
- L-phenylalanine (Ring-d<sub>5</sub>, 98% chemical purity) (Cambridge Isotope Laboratories, cat. no. DLM-1258-1)
- Nonadecanoyl-2-hydroxy-sn-glycero-3-phosphocholine (lyso-phosphatidylcholine 19:0) (Avanti, cat. no. 855776P)
- *N*-lauroyl-D-erythro-sphingosylphosphorylcholine (12:0 sphingomyelin (d18:1/12:0)) (Avanti, cat. no. 860583P)
- Ultrapure water

# Equipment

- Vortex mixer (VWR, cat. no. 12620-850)
- Pipettes and tips
- Centrifuge tubes (1.5 ml; Eppendorf, cat. no. 0030120086)
- High-speed microcentrifuge (Hitachi, cat. no. CF16RN)
- Centrifugal vacuum evaporator (Labconco, cat. no. 7310031)
- UHPLC system (Waters) coupled with a Triple TOF 5600+ mass spectrometer (AB Sciex)
- LC (ExionLC AD) coupled with Triple Quad 6500 (AB Sciex)
- LC (Nexera x2)-MS (TQ8050) system (Shimadzu)
- LC system (Vanquish) coupled with a TSQ Altis triple-quadrupole mass spectrometer (Thermo Scientific)
- $\bullet$  Acquity BEH C8 column (100 mm  $\times$  2.1 mm, 1.7  $\mu\text{m};$  Waters, cat. no. 186002878)
- Acquity HSS T3 column (100 mm × 2.1 mm, 1.8 μm; Waters, cat. no. 186003539)
- MSConvert (http://proteowizard.sourceforge.net/tools.shtml, provided by ProteoWizard)
- R statistical scripting language (version 3.6.1)
- Skyline<sup>81</sup> 19.1 (https://skyline.ms/project/home/software/Skyline/begin.view, used for processing of MRM data)

# **Reagent setup**

#### IS solutions for retention-time calibration and normalization

- *IS storage solution*. Each IS is prepared as a 1-mg/ml solution in the appropriate solvent and stored in a 4 °C refrigerator.
- *IS extraction solution.* This solution is used for protein precipitation and metabolite extraction. The details are shown in Table 1, and 49.4 ml of acetonitrile is added to a mixed solution of ISs.
- *IS reconstitution solution*. This solution is used for gradient dilution to obtain a  $2^{10}$ -fold dilution series with constant concentrations of ISs. The concentrations are the same as those for the IS extraction solution (Table 1), but 90% (vol/vol) H<sub>2</sub>O/CH<sub>3</sub>OH is used as the solvent **CRITICAL** All standard compound solutions are stored at 4 °C, and freshness is ensured.

#### 90% (vol/vol) water/methanol

To prepare the 90% (vol/vol) water/methanol solution, 90 ml of ultrapure water is added to a clean glass bottle, followed by 10 ml of HPLC-grade methanol  $\blacktriangle$  CRITICAL Because it contains a high proportion of water, this solvent should be prepared fresh before use.

Time (min)	Flow rate (ml/min)	Mobile phase A (%)	Mobile phase B (%)
Initial	0.35	95	5
1	0.35	95	5
24	0.35	0	100
28	0.35	0	100
28.1	0.35	95	5
30	0.35	95	5

Table 2 | Reversed-phase UHPLC-MS gradient for positive ion mode (30-min run)

#### Mobile phase solutions

These are prepared as described in ref.<sup>82</sup>.

Mobile phase A used for positive ion mode is prepared by adding 1.0 ml of formic acid to 1,000 ml of HPLC-grade water and mixing thoroughly.

Mobile phase B used for positive ion mode is prepared by adding 1.0 ml of formic acid to 1,000 ml of HPLC-grade acetonitrile and mixing thoroughly.

Mobile phase C used for negative ion mode is prepared by dissolving 6.5 mmol of  $NH_4HCO_3$  in 1,000 ml of HPLC-grade water.

Mobile phase D used for negative ion mode is prepared by dissolving 6.5 mmol of  $NH_4HCO_3$  in 1,000 mL of 95% methanol and water.

Other solutions needed for UHPLC are prepared according to the manufacturer's instructions. **CRITICAL** All solutions should be prepared fresh before use, especially those containing water.

# Equipment setup

# UHPLC-HRMS instrument setup

Untargeted profiling is based on a Waters Acquity UHPLC system (Waters) coupled to an AB Sciex Triple Q-TOF 5600+ system (AB Sciex) in this protocol. Other HRMS instruments with IDA/DDA are also suitable.

Chromatographic separation is performed on a Waters Acquity BEH C8 column (100 mm  $\times$  2.1 mm, 1.7 µm) for positive ion mode and a Waters Acquity HSS T3 column (100 mm  $\times$  2.1 mm, 1.8 µm) for negative ion mode. Mobile phases A and B are used for positive ion mode, and the column temperature is 50 °C. Mobile phases C and D are used for negative ion mode, and the column temperature is 55 °C. Gradient elution is performed for positive and negative ion mode detection, as detailed in Tables 2 and 3, respectively.

The following parameters are used for the triple TOF 5600+ mass spectrometer. The spray voltages are 5.5 kV and -4.5 kV for the positive and negative ion modes, respectively. The source temperature is 550 °C. Curtain gas, gas 1 and gas 2 are set at 35, 55, and 55 p.s.i., respectively. IDA-based auto-MS<sup>2</sup> was performed on the 20 most-intense metabolite ions in the cycle of a full scan. The mass-to-charge ratio (m/z) scan ranges of the precursor ions and product ions are set at 50–1250 Da. The CE voltage is set at 15, 30 and 45 V in positive ion mode. The CE voltage is set at -15, -30 and -45 V in negative ion mode. MS data are not acquired during chromatographic column equilibration.

# Software for defining MRM transitions

MSConvert<sup>83</sup> (http://proteowizard.sourceforge.net/tools.shtml), provided by ProteoWizard, can convert the raw MS data format of some vendors into XCMS-supported data types and mgf file type.

XCMS<sup>84</sup> (http://www.bioconductor.org/packages/release/bioc/html/xcms.html) and CAMERA<sup>85</sup> (http://www.bioconductor.org/packages/release/bioc/html/CAMERA.html) are used for peak detection and peak annotation, respectively. To install the XCMS and CAMERA package, start R (version 3.6) and enter the following codes in the console:

```
if (!requireNamespace("BiocManager", quietly = TRUE))
install.packages("BiocManager")
BiocManager::install("xcms")
BiocManager::install("CAMERA")
```

# PROTOCOL

Table 3	Reversed-phase	UHPLC-MS	gradient for	negative i	on mode	(25-min run)
---------	----------------	----------	--------------	------------	---------	--------------

Time (min)	Flow rate (ml/min)	Mobile phase C (%)	Mobile phase D (%)
Initial	0.35	98	2
1	0.35	98	2
18	0.35	0	100
22	0.35	0	100
22.1	0.35	98	2
25	0.35	98	2

# Box 1 | TQMS parameter setup

Triple Quad 6500 (AB Sciex)

The ionspray voltages are 5.5 and -4.5 kV for the positive and negative ion modes, respectively. The source temperature is 500 °C. Curtain gas, gas 1 and gas 2 are set at 35, 40, and 40 p.s.i., respectively.

#### TQ8050 (Shimadzu)

The interface temperature, desolvation line (DL) temperature and heat block temperature are set at 300, 250 and 400 °C, respectively. The nebulizing gas flow, heating gas flow and drying gas flow are 3, 10 and 10 l/min.

#### TSQ Altis (Thermo Scientific)

ESI spray voltage in positive ion mode is 3,500 V, and negative ion mode is 2,500 V. The ion transfer tube temperature and vaporizer temperature are 325 and 350 °C, respectively. Sheath gas, auxiliary (aux) gas and sweep gas are set at 50, 10, and 1 Arb, respectively.

A function named 'MRM\_Ion\_Pair\_Finder' written by R (https://github.com/zhengfj1994/MRM-Ion\_Pair\_Finder/tree/master/R) is used for defining MRM transitions.

# UHPLC-TQMS instrument setup

To prove pseudotargeted metabolomics methods can be used in different TQMS systems, we used three TQMS instruments including Triple Quad 6500 (AB Sciex), TQ8050 (Shimadzu) and TSQ Altis (Thermo Scientific). The experimental conditions for LC separation are the same as those for UHPLC-HRMS described above. The detailed MS parameters are shown in Box 1.

# Procedure

# Plasma/serum QC sample preparation for defining MRM transitions Timing ~4 h

▲ **CRITICAL** To be simple and comparable in different laboratories, we have described the procedure for analysis of the commercially available plasma NIST SRM 1950. To prepare your own samples, follow Steps 1 and 2.

- 1 Store samples to be analyzed at -80 °C or in liquid nitrogen. When you are ready to analyze the plasma/serum samples, thaw on ice at 4 °C for 30–60 min.
- 2 Take 10–25  $\mu$ l from each sample and separately mix them to produce QCs of different groups. The volume of each QC should be  $\leq$ 200  $\mu$ l.

**PAUSE POINT** For the large-scale cohort metabolomics study with more than hundreds of samples, if the sample number is too many, QC can be prepared by taking the representative samples from each group. In the following step, 200  $\mu$ l of QC is used; the remaining volume can be stored at -80 °C for future use (e.g., in identification and method validation).

- 3 Add 800 μl of the IS extraction solution (4 °C) into 200 μl of plasma/serum sample for protein precipitation<sup>66</sup>.
- 4 Thoroughly mix on a vortex mixer for 60 s.
- 5 Centrifuge for 10 min at 15,000g and 4 °C.
- 6 Transfer 900  $\mu$ l of the supernatant to a centrifuge tube.
- 7 Lyophilize the supernatant in a centrifugal vacuum evaporator at 4 °C.

**PAUSE POINT** The lyophilized sample can be stored at -80 °C for up to several months.

Reconstitute the sample in 60 μl of 90% (vol/vol) H<sub>2</sub>O/CH<sub>3</sub>OH, vortex for 60 s and centrifuge for 10 min at 15,000g and 4 °C.

▲ **CRITICAL STEP** Take three times the volume of the QC sample as in the sample analysis to detect more metabolites.

9 Transfer the supernatant to a threaded screw-neck vial containing an insert for a large open vial. Place the vial in an autosampler operating at 6-8 °C.

# UHPLC-HRMS analysis Timing 30 or 25 min per analysis

10 Inject 5  $\mu$ l of the reconstituted sample onto a UHPLC-HRMS with IDA mode. Six independent analyses with different CE voltages (15, 30 and 45 V in positive ion mode and -15, -30 and -45 V in negative ion mode are recommended) are performed.

▲ **CRITICAL STEP** The range of CE voltages should roughly cover the optimal CE voltages of metabolites.

# ? TROUBLESHOOTING

- 11 Clean the UHPLC-HRMS following the manufacturer's instructions.
- 12 Archive the data.

**PAUSE POINT** The analyzed sample should be sterilized and handled at Biosafety Level (BSL) 2. The data can be analyzed at any time.

# Definition of MRM transitions Timing ~5 h

13 Convert the raw UHPLC-HRMS data to XCMS-supported data type and mgf files using MSConvert.

▲ **CRITICAL STEP** The mgf files should be saved in a separate folder, and their file names should contain the value of the CE voltage without other numbers.

- 14 Open R statistical scripting language (version 3.6.1), invoke XCMS and then perform codes in the console to do peak detection.
- 15 Invoke CAMERA and annotate features that come from XCMS. First, create an xsAnnotate object, and then group features according to retention time. Finally, annotate isotopes and adducts. For information on how to do peak detection and annotation, refer to https://github.com/zhengfj1994/ MRM-Ion\_Pair\_Finder for more help.
- 16 Remove redundant features. Output the result to a comma-separated values (csv) file. The recommended format of the csv file can be seen in Supplementary Table 1. The referential codes are provided in GitHub (https://github.com/zhengfj1994/MRM-Ion\_Pair\_Finder).
- 17 Use 'MRM\_Ion\_Pair\_Finder' to define MRM transitions. A list of defined MRM transitions is obtained (Supplementary Table 2). Based on the retention time and m/z information given in Table 1, the IS peaks in Supplementary Table 2 are defined.

▲ CRITICAL STEP The parameters of 'MRM\_Ion\_Pair\_Finder' can significantly alter the number of MRM transitions. Each parameter setting should be carefully considered according to the quality of data collected. The information about parameters can be found at https://github.com/zhengfj1994/MRM-Ion\_Pair\_Finder?

# ? TROUBLESHOOTING

# Retention-time calibration and CE voltage optimization for UHPLC-TQMS Timing ~10 h

18 Retention-time calibration. Inject ~5-10 μl of IS reconstitution solution onto the UHPLC-TQMS system to obtain the retention time of each IS under the chromatographic separation gradients given in Table 2 (positive ion mode) or Table 3 (negative ion mode). Perform retention-time calibration with the method described in Box 2 and Fig. 1. The retention times of ISs in UHPLC-HRMS are obtained from Step 16. We have provided a ready-made function in R statistical scripting language for retention-time calibration, and it has also been uploaded to GitHub (https://github.com/zhengfj1994/MRM-Ion\_Pair\_Finder).

▲ **CRITICAL STEP** Each MRM transition is detected in a retention-time window. Retention-time drift should be calibrated to avoid false negatives.

19 CE voltage optimization. From UHPLC-HRMS analysis, the optimized CE has been suggested. For plasma/serum sample analysis, the CE value of HRMS can be directly used if TQMS and HRMS are from the same manufacturer because of the similar collision cell used. Otherwise, three injections are performed near the recommended optimized CE value (CE of HRMS and ±5 eV) to select the CE value with better response for UHPLC-TQMS analysis.

# PROTOCOL

#### Box 2 | Retention-time calibration

Retention-time (tr) calibration was used to correct for differences between retention times of metabolites recorded on different instrument systems<sup>79</sup>. Here, the calibration from UHPLC-HRMS to UHPLC-TQMS is taken as an example. Figure 1 shows a schematic of the retention-time calibration. Suppose metabolite 'i' is eluted between ISs 1 and 2, the retention-time differences of these two ISs between the UHPLC-HRMS (<sup>HR</sup>) and UHPLC-TQMS (<sup>TQ</sup>) runs are calculated,

$$\Delta tr_1 = tr_1^{HR} - tr_1^{TQ} \& \Delta tr_2 = tr_2^{HR} - tr_2^{TQ}$$
(1)

Then, the UHPLC-TQMS retention time for metabolite 'i' could be calculated by Eq. 2 and Eq. 3.

$$\Delta tr_{i} = \Delta tr_{1} + \frac{(\Delta tr_{2} - \Delta tr_{1})(tr_{i}^{HR} - tr_{1}^{HR})}{(tr_{2}^{HR} - tr_{1}^{HR})}$$
(2)

$$tr_i^{TQ} = tr_i^{HR} - \Delta tr_i \tag{3}$$

If retention time of metabolite 'j' is smaller than the first eluted IS or bigger than the last eluted IS, only one IS is used in the retention-time calibration. For example:

$$\Delta tr_{nearest\_standard} = tr_{nearest\_standard}^{HR} - tr_{nearest\_standard}^{TQ} \tag{4}$$

$$tr_i^{TQ} = tr_i^{HR} - \Delta tr_{nearest\_standard}$$
(5)

The method for batch retention time correction has been provided, and the codes have been uploaded to GitHub (https://github.com/zhengfj1994/MRM-lon\_Pair\_Finder/R).



**Fig. 1 | Schematic of the retention-time calibration method.** The variables are defined in Box 2. <sup>HR</sup>, UHPLC-HRMS; <sup>TQ</sup>, UHPLC-TQMS; *tr*, retention time.

20 Delete undetected MRM transitions to ensure that the remaining MRM transitions have longer dwell times for optimal detection sensitivity.
 ? TROUBLESHOOTING

# Evaluation of the quantitative performance of the pseudotargeted metabolomics method Timing ~3 d

21 Verify the analytical characteristics of the established pseudotargeted method, including the linearity, repeatability and stability. Detect the MRM transitions confirmed in Step 20 using the chromatographic separation gradient given in Table 2 (positive ion mode) or Table 3 (negative ion mode) and the detailed MS parameters of TQMS shown in Box 1. To evaluate linearity for

pseudotargeted metabolomics, choose option A. To evaluate repeatability, choose option B. Perform the steps in option C if you are evaluating stability.

- (A) Linearity
  - (i) Add 880 µl of acetonitrile (4 °C) to 220 µl of QC sample prepared in Step 2.
  - (ii) Thoroughly mix on a vortex mixer for 60 s.
  - (iii) Centrifuge for 10 min at 15,000g and 4 °C.
  - (iv) Transfer 1,000  $\mu l$  of the supernatant to a centrifuge tube.
  - (v) Lyophilize the supernatant in a centrifugal vacuum evaporator at 4 °C.
  - (vi) Reconstitute the sample in 200  $\mu$ l of the IS reconstitution solution, vortex for 60 s and centrifuge for 10 min at 15,000g and 4 °C.
  - (vii) Transfer the supernatant to a centrifuge tube.
  - (viii) Perform gradient dilution with the IS reconstitution solution to obtain a 2<sup>10</sup>-fold dilution series (1, 1/2, 1/4, 1/8, 1/16, 1/32, 1/64, 1/128, 1/256, 1/512 and 1/1024).
    ▲ CRITICAL STEP Use the IS reconstitution solution as the diluting agent to ensure the

same IS concentration in the  $2^{10}$ -fold dilution series.

- (ix) Transfer the  $2^{10}$ -fold dilution series to threaded screw-neck vials containing an insert for large open vials and place the vials in the autosampler operating at 6–8 °C.
- (x) Inject 5  $\mu$ l of each sample onto the UHPLC-TQMS system. Analyze samples from low to high concentration and repeat three times.
- (xi) Calculate the R<sup>2</sup> of each MRM transition. If >60% of the metabolites have an R<sup>2</sup> >0.95, and >80% of the metabolites have an R<sup>2</sup> >0.8, the results are considered to be adequate. If the proportion of transitions that behave linearly is lower than the 'cut-off' for non-linearity, step A should be done again. Also see Table 4.
  ? TROUBLESHOOTING

# (B) Repeatability

- (i) Add 200 µl of the IS extraction solution (4 °C) to 50 µl of the QC samples for protein precipitation.
- (ii) Thoroughly mix on a vortex mixer for 60 s.
- (iii) Centrifuge for 10 min at 15,000g and 4 °C.
- (iv) Transfer 200 µl of the supernatant to a centrifuge tube.
- (v) Lyophilize the supernatant in a centrifugal vacuum evaporator at 4 °C.
- (vi) Reconstitute the sample in 50  $\mu$ l of 90% (vol/vol) H<sub>2</sub>O/CH<sub>3</sub>OH, vortex for 60 s and centrifuge for 10 min at 15,000g and 4 °C. To reduce the chance that the metabolites will change while waiting in the autosampler, lyophilized samples should be stored at -80 °C and reconstituted only on the day they are to be analyzed.
- (vii) Transfer the solution to threaded screw-neck vials containing an insert for large open vials and place the vials in the autosampler operating at 6–8 °C.
- (viii) Inject 5  $\mu$ l of the sample onto the UHPLC-TQMS system, repeat 10 injections within a day and repeat on three consecutive days.
- (ix) Calculate the CV to evaluate the intraday and interday repeatability. More than 90% and 80% of metabolites with a CV value of <30% are considered to be adequate for intraday repeatability and interday repeatability, respectively.
  - ? TROUBLESHOOTING
- (C) Stability

Stability is evaluated by calculating the change in QC samples over the course of running a large-scale metabolomics analytical sequence.

- (i) The plasma and QC sample pretreatment is the same as that in 21B(i)-(vi).
- (ii) QC samples are injected at the start of the analytical batch<sup>86</sup>, and one QC sample is injected at every 5th to 15th injection.
- (iii) The QC samples in an analytical batch are used to validate the stability of the pseudotargeted metabolomics method.? TROUBLESHOOTING

# Application in large-scale metabolomics research Timing Depending on the number of analyzed samples

- 22 Plasma/serum sample preparation. Prepare the samples as described in Steps 1-8.
- 23 Pseudotargeted metabolomics analysis based on UHPLC-TQMS. Use the chromatographic separation gradients given in Table 2 (positive ion mode) or Table 3 (negative ion mode) and

the detailed MS parameters of TQMS shown in Box 1. At the start of each batch, 10 QC samples are run for instrument balance. Insert a QC in every 5–15 injections for signal drift calibration. Archive the data.

- **PAUSE POINT** The analyzed sample should be sterilized and handled at BSL2. The data can be analyzed later.
- 25 Extract peak areas using the software provided by instrument producers (MultiQuant from AB Sciex, TraceFinder from Thermo Fisher and LabSolutions from Shimadzu) or free software (Skyline).
- 26 Remove metabolites that are detectable in <80% of the samples in each sample group and metabolites with CV values >30% in QC samples after peak area standardization by internal standards.
- 27 The pre-processed pseudotargeted metabolomics peak table can be used to do statistical analysis including multivariate (principal component analysis (PCA), partial least squares-discriminant analysis (PLS-DA)) and univariate analysis (*P* value and false discovery rate (FDR)).

# Troubleshooting

Troubleshooting advice can be found in Table 4.

24

Table	4   Troubleshooting table		
Step	Problem	Possible reason	Solution
10	Low MS response	MS source contamination	Clean the MS source
17	Error when running 'MRM_Ion_Pair_Finder'	Incorrect MS <sup>1</sup> or MS <sup>2</sup> file	Check the files of $MS^1$ and $MS2$ . $MS^1$ file should be a csv file. $MS^2$ folder should contain only mgf files
	Wrong retention time or $m/z$	Incorrect MS <sup>1</sup> format	The correct MS <sup>1</sup> format is shown in Supplementary Table 1
	Wrong CE voltages	Incorrect MS <sup>2</sup> file name	The MS <sup>2</sup> file should be named with CE voltage, and no other numbers should exist in the file name
20	Few detected MRM transitions	Retention-time drift	This is an indication of problems with the retention-time calibration in Step 16. Check the retention time of the IS, and recalibrate the retention time using the correct method
		Small dwell time	Use a longer cycle time and narrower detection window, reduce the number of MRM transitions to be detected or delete undetected MRM transitions and rerun
21A	Poor linearity of most metabolites	2 <sup>10</sup> -fold dilution series preparation errors	Reconstitute solutions with correct concentrations
		Inappropriate concentrations of 2 <sup>10</sup> -fold dilution series	Change the concentrations and repeat the experiment
		MS source contamination	Clean the MS source
21B	Poor interday repeatability	MS source contamination	Clean the MS source
		Batch interruption	Normalization using ISs
21C	Poor stability in the analytical sequence	MS source contamination	Clean the MS source
		The concentration of injected samples is too high	Dilute samples or reduce the injection volume. During the analytical sequence, clean the MS instrumentation at set intervals

# Timing

Steps 1–9, plasma/serum QC sample preparation for defining MRM transitions: ~4 h
Steps 10–12, UHPLC-HRMS analysis: 30 or 25 min per analysis
Steps 13–17, definition of MRM transitions: ~5 h
Steps 18–20, retention-time calibration and CE voltage optimization for UHPLC-TQMS: ~10 h
Step 21, evaluation of the quantitative performance of the pseudotargeted metabolomics method: ~3 d
Steps 22–27, application in large-scale metabolomics research: depends on the number of analyzed samples

# Anticipated results

Figure 2 shows an overview of the pseudotargeted metabolomics method development described in this protocol. 'MRM\_Ion\_Pair\_Finder' can automatically define MRM transitions from MS/MS data,

and the codes were uploaded to GitHub (https://github.com/zhengfj1994/MRM-Ion\_Pair\_Finder). The codes for peak detection, peak annotation and retention-time calibration are also available on that website.

In this protocol, 1,490 and 984 MRM transitions in positive and negative ion mode, respectively, were obtained from NIST SRM 1950 via untargeted metabolic profiling with a triple TOF 5600+ (AB Sciex) system. Supplementary Tables 3 and 4 present the information on these metabolites. For plasma/serum pseudotargeted metabolomics MRM analysis, these MRM transitions can be used directly, skipping Steps 1–17.

To validate that MRM transitions from HRMS are suitable for different TQMS systems, Triple Quad 6500 (AB Sciex), TQ 8050 (Shimadzu) and TSQ Altis (Thermo Scientific) were used to perform validation of MRM transitions, respectively. MRM transitions verified by three mass spectrometers are highly coincident (Fig. 3). TSQ Altis (Thermo Scientific) was further used for evaluating the quantitative performance of the pseudotargeted metabolomics method. 880 and 552 metabolites were detected in NIST SRM 1950 in positive and negative ion modes, respectively. The linearity, repeatability and stability of pseudotargeted metabolomics with TSQ Altis were evaluated. To evaluate the linearity of the MRM transitions,  $R^2$  values were calculated for the 874 metabolites in positive ion mode and the 552 metabolites in negative ion mode over the entire 2<sup>10</sup>-fold dilution series of the NIST SRM 1950. The percentage of metabolites with  $R^2$  values >0.95 was 85% and 62% in positive and negative ion modes, respectively (Fig. 4a and Supplementary Fig. 1a).

Repeatability was evaluated by calculating the CV of the repeated injections on the same day and on different days. In positive ion mode, after 10 injections on 1 d, 88.3% (737) of the metabolites, accounting for 98.8% of the total peak area, had an relative standard deviation (RSD) <15% (Fig. 4b). After repeated injections on three consecutive days, 76.6% (642) of the metabolites, accounting for 97.2% of the total peak area, had RSD values <15% (Fig. 4c). These results indicated that the established pseudotargeted method is stable and can be used in large-scale metabolomics analyses. Similarly, the repeatability in the negative ion mode can be seen in Supplementary Fig. 1b,c.



Fig. 2 | Overview of the pseudotargeted metabolomics method development.

# PROTOCOL



Fig. 3 | MRM transitions verified by different TQMS. a, Positive ion mode. b, Negative ion mode.



**Fig. 4** | **Quantitative performance of the pseudotargeted metabolomics method.** The 'number' on the y-axis is the number of transitions that have the calculated validation measure; this corresponds approximately to the number of metabolites. **a**, Linearity of all MRM transitions in positive ion mode. Percentage, the percentage of the metabolite number with  $R^2$  greater than the specific value to the total metabolite number. **b**, Intraday repeatability in positive ion mode evaluated from 10 injections in 1 d. Sum of response%, the percentage of the metabolite peak area with CV less than the specific value to the total peak area. **c**, Interday repeatability in positive ion mode evaluated from 10 replicates per day on three consecutive days.

As an application of the established pseudotargeted method, a childhood obesity metabolomics study, which was approved by the ethics committee of China Medical University and conformed to the ethical guidelines of the 1975 Declaration of Helsinki, was performed. Children were classified as normal weight, overweight or obese according to their body mass index, and each group contained 30 children. The basic information is listed in Supplementary Table 5. QC samples were included in the analytical batch to evaluate the stability of the established pseudotargeted method. The distribution of values for the 'first component' in the PCA analysis of the QC samples in positive ion mode and negative ion mode is shown in Supplementary Fig. 2 panels a and b, respectively. These data show that the variation in the QC samples was within 2 s.d., indicating that the analytical sequence was stable<sup>87</sup>.

On the basis of data-quality evaluation, multivariate and univariate analysis will be carried out. First, PCA is tried to know the whole difference in metabolic profiling. Then, PLS-DA is performed to establish the supervised classification model and define the differential metabolites (significantly changed compounds) between groups. The results of PLS-DA are shown in Supplementary Fig. 3a,b for positive ion mode. Univariate analysis usually includes *P* value and FDR, and SPSS and Matlab can be used to calculate these values of metabolites, respectively. Metabolites with a *P* value <0.05 and FDR <0.05 were chosen as significantly changed compounds between obese/overweight and normal-weight children. In total, 126 and 41 differential metabolites were defined between obese and normal-weight children and between overweight and normal-weight children, respectively. Similarly, the PLS-DA score plot and corresponding permutation test for negative ion mode can be found in Supplementary Fig. 3c.

# Reporting Summary

Further information on research design is available in the Nature Research Reporting Summary linked to this article.

#### References

- 1. Nicholson, J. K. & Lindon, J. C. Systems biology-Metabonomics. Nature 455, 1054-1056 (2008).
- Nicholson, J. K., Lindon, J. C. & Holmes, E. 'Metabonomics': understanding the metabolic responses of living systems to pathophysiological stimuli via multivariate statistical analysis of biological NMR spectroscopic data. *Xenobiotica* 29, 1181–1189 (1999).
- 3. Hinkelbein, J. et al. Alterations in cerebral metabolomics and proteomic expression during sepsis. *Curr. Neurovasc. Res.* **4**, 280–288 (2007).
- 4. Dunn, W. B., Goodacre, R., Neyses, L. & Mamas, M. Integration of metabolomics in heart disease and diabetes research: current achievements and future outlook. *Bioanalysis* 3, 2205–2222 (2011).
- Nicholson, J. K., Connelly, J., Lindon, J. C. & Holmes, E. Metabonomics: a platform for studying drug toxicity and gene function. *Nat. Rev. Drug Discov.* 1, 153–161 (2002).
- 6. Bollard, M. E. et al. Comparative metabonomics of differential hydrazine toxicity in the rat and mouse. *Toxicol. Appl. Pharmacol.* **204**, 135–151 (2005).
- 7. Clarke, C. J. & Haselden, J. N. Metabolic profiling as a tool for understanding mechanisms of toxicity. *Toxicol. Pathol.* **36**, 140–147 (2008).
- Coen, M., Holmes, E., Lindon, J. C. & Nicholson, J. K. NMR-based metabolic profiling and metabonomic approaches to problems in molecular toxicology. *Chem. Res. Toxicol.* 21, 9–27 (2008).
- 9. Lindon, J. C., Holmes, E. & Nicholson, J. K. Metabonomics in pharmaceutical R & D. FEBS J. 274, 1140–1151 (2007).
- 10. Wishart, D. S. Applications of metabolomics in drug discovery and development. Drugs R. D. 9, 307-322 (2008).
- 11. Wilson, I. D. et al. High resolution "ultra performance" liquid chromatography coupled to oa-TOF mass spectrometry as a tool for differential metabolic pathway profiling in functional genomic studies. *J. Proteome Res.* **4**, 591–598 (2005).
- 12. Gieger, C. et al. Genetics meets metabolomics: a genome-wide association study of metabolite profiles in human serum. *PLoS Genet.* **4**, e1000282 (2008).
- 13. Gowda, G. A. N. et al. Metabolomics-based methods for early disease diagnostics. *Expert Rev. Mol. Diagn.* 8, 617–633 (2008).
- 14. Kinross, J. M., Darzi, A. W. & Nicholson, J. K. Gut microbiome-host interactions in health and disease. *Genome Med* **3**, 14 (2011).
- Lenz, E. M. et al. Metabonomics, dietary influences and cultural differences: a H-1 NMR-based study of urine samples obtained from healthy British and Swedish subjects. J. Pharm. Biomed. Anal. 36, 841–849 (2004).
- Holmes, E. et al. Human metabolic phenotype diversity and its association with diet and blood pressure. Nature 453, 396–400 (2008).
- McNiven, E. M. S., German, J. B. & Slupsky, C. M. Analytical metabolomics: nutritional opportunities for personalized health. J. Nutr. Biochem. 22, 995–1002 (2011).
- Beckonert, O. et al. Metabolic profiling, metabolomic and metabonomic procedures for NMR spectroscopy of urine, plasma, serum and tissue extracts. *Nat. Protoc.* 2, 2692–2703 (2007).
- Kim, H. K., Choi, Y. H. & Verpoorte, R. NMR-based metabolomic analysis of plants. *Nat. Protoc.* 5, 536–549 (2010).
- 20. Beale, D. J. et al. Review of recent developments in GC-MS approaches to metabolomics-based research. *Metabolomics* 14, 152 (2018).
- 21. Wei, R., Li, G. & Seymour, A. B. High-throughput and multiplexed LC/MS/MRM method for targeted metabolomics. *Anal. Chem.* 82, 5527–5533 (2010).
- Lu, W., Bennett, B. D. & Rabinowitz, J. D. Analytical strategies for LC-MS-based targeted metabolomics. J. Chromatogr. B Anal. Technol. Biomed. Life Sci. 871, 236–242 (2008).
- 23. Tsugawa, H. et al. Highly sensitive and selective analysis of widely targeted metabolomics using gas chromatography/triple-quadrupole mass spectrometry. J. Biosci. Bioeng. 117, 122-128 (2014).
- 24. Wong, J.-M. T. et al. Benzoyl chloride derivatization with liquid chromatography-mass spectrometry for targeted metabolomics of neurochemicals in biological samples. J. Chromatogr. A 1446, 78–90 (2016).
- 25. Cajka, T. & Fiehn, O. Toward merging untargeted and targeted methods in mass spectrometry-based metabolomics and lipidomics. *Anal. Chem.* **88**, 524–545 (2016).
- 26. Li, Y. et al. A novel approach to transforming a non-targeted metabolic profiling method to a pseudo-targeted method using the retention time locking gas chromatography/mass spectrometry-selected ions monitoring. *J. Chromatogr. A* 1255, 228–236 (2012).
- 27. Chen, S. et al. Pseudotargeted metabolomics method and its application in serum biomarker discovery for hepatocellular carcinoma based on ultra high-performance liquid chromatography/triple quadrupole mass spectrometry. *Anal. Chem.* **85**, 8326–8333 (2013).
- 28. Luo, P. et al. Optimization of large-scale pseudotargeted metabolomics method based on liquid chromatography-mass spectrometry. J. Chromatogr. A 1437, 127–136 (2016).

- 29. Zhao, Y. et al. A novel strategy for large-scale metabolomics study by calibrating gross and systematic errors in gas chromatography-mass spectrometry. *Anal. Chem.* **88**, 2234–2242 (2016).
- 30. Luo, P. et al. A large-scale, multicenter serum metabolite biomarker identification study for the early detection of hepatocellular carcinoma. *Hepatology* **67**, 662–675 (2018).
- 31. Shao, Y. et al. Development of urinary pseudotargeted LC-MS-based metabolomics method and its application in hepatocellular carcinoma biomarker discovery. J. Proteome Res. 14, 906–916 (2015).
- 32. Zhang, J. et al. Sample-directed pseudotargeted method for the metabolic profiling analysis of rice seeds based on liquid chromatography with mass spectrometry. J. Sep. Sci. **39**, 247–255 (2016).
- 33. Yang, J. et al. Enhanced pseudotargeted analysis using a segment data dependent acquisition strategy by LC-MS/MS for a metabolomics study of liquiritin in the treatment of depression. J. Sep. Sci. Online ahead of print https://doi.org/10.1002/jssc.202000107 (2020).
- 34. Liu, P. et al. Determination of thiol metabolites in human urine by stable isotope labeling in combination with pseudo-targeted mass spectrometry analysis. *Sci. Rep.* **6**, 12 (2016).
- 35. Ye, G. et al. Study of induction chemotherapy efficacy in oral squamous cell carcinoma using pseudotargeted metabolomics. *J. Proteome Res.* **13**, 1994–2004 (2014).
- 36. Zhou, Y. et al. The development of plasma pseudotargeted GC-MS metabolic profiling and its application in bladder cancer. *Anal. Bioanal. Chem.* **408**, 6741–6749 (2016).
- 37. Wang, M. et al. Treatment of rheumatoid arthritis using combination of methotrexate and tripterygium glycosides tablets—a quantitative plasma pharmacochemical and pseudotargeted metabolomic approach. *Front. Pharm.* **9**, 1051 (2018).
- 38. Zhou, Y. et al. Serum metabolomics study of gliclazide-modified-release-treated type 2 diabetes mellitus patients using a gas chromatography-mass spectrometry method. *J. Proteome Res.* 17, 1575–1585 (2018).
- 39. Wang, Y. et al. An improved pseudotargeted metabolomics approach using multiple ion monitoring with time-staggered ion lists based on ultra-high performance liquid chromatography/quadrupole time-of-flight mass spectrometry. *Anal. Chim. Acta* **927**, 82–88 (2016).
- 40. Chen, Y. H. et al. Development of a data-independent targeted metabolomics method for relative quantification using liquid chromatography coupled with tandem mass spectrometry. *Anal. Chem.* **89**, 6954–6962 (2017).
- 41. Zha, H. et al. SWATHtoMRM: development of high-coverage targeted metabolomics method using SWATH technology for biomarker discovery. *Anal. Chem.* **90**, 4062–4070 (2018).
- Cui, Y. et al. Diagnostic and therapeutic profiles of serum bile acids in women with intrahepatic cholestasis of pregnancy—a pseudo-targeted metabolomics study. *Clin. Chim. Acta* 483, 135–141 (2018).
- 43. Yang, T. et al. A serum metabolomics study of gastric cancer based on pseudotargeted liquid chromatography-mass spectrometry approach. Se. Pu. 32, 126–132 (2014). Chinese.
- 44. Zhou, Y. et al. Discovery and validation of potential urinary biomarkers for bladder cancer diagnosis using a pseudotargeted GC-MS metabolomics method. *Oncotarget* **8**, 20719–20728 (2017).
- 45. Zhao, Y. et al. Metabolic responses of rice leaves and seeds under transgenic backcross breeding and pesticide stress by pseudotargeted metabolomics. *Metabolomics* **11**, 1802–1814 (2015).
- 46. Zhao, Y. et al. A metabolomics study delineating geographical location-associated primary metabolic changes in the leaves of growing tobacco plants by GC-MS and CE-MS. *Sci. Rep.* **5**, 16346 (2015).
- 47. Zhao, J. et al. Metabolic profiling with gas chromatography-mass spectrometry and capillary electrophoresis-mass spectrometry reveals the carbon-nitrogen status of tobacco leaves across different planting areas. *J. Proteome Res.* **15**, 468–476 (2016).
- Zhao, J. et al. Metabolic changes in primary, secondary, and lipid metabolism in tobacco leaf in response to topping. *Anal. Bioanal. Chem.* 410, 839–851 (2018).
- Song, Y. L. et al. Integrated work-flow for quantitative metabolome profiling of plants, Peucedani Radix as a case. Anal. Chim. Acta 953, 40–47 (2017).
- 50. Shu, Y. et al. Integrated and global pseudotargeted metabolomics strategy applied to screening for quality control markers of Citrus TCMs. *Anal. Bioanal. Chem.* **409**, 4849–4865 (2017).
- 51. Li, Z. et al. Deciphering chemical interactions between Glycyrrhizae Radix and Coptidis Rhizoma by liquid chromatography with transformed multiple reaction monitoring mass spectrometry. *J. Sep. Sci.* **40**, 1254–1265 (2017).
- Gong, Y. et al. Short-chain chlorinated paraffins (SCCPs) disrupt hepatic fatty acid metabolism in liver of male rat via interacting with peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor alpha (PPARalpha). *Ecotoxicol. Environ. Saf.* 181, 164–171 (2019).
- 53. Wang, F. et al. A metabolomics strategy to assess the combined toxicity of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs) and short-chain chlorinated paraffins (SCCPs). *Environ. Pollut.* **234**, 572–580 (2018).
- 54. Wang, F. et al. New insights into the cytotoxic mechanism of hexabromocyclododecane from a metabolomic approach. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **50**, 3145–3153 (2016).
- 55. Ren, X. et al. Comparing the disrupting effects of short-, medium- and long-chain chlorinated Paraffins on cell viability and metabolism. *Sci. Total Environ.* **685**, 297–307 (2019).
- Ren, X. et al. Developmental and metabolic responses of zebrafish (*Danio rerio*) embryos and larvae to shortchain chlorinated paraffins (SCCPs) exposure. *Sci. Total Environ.* 622, 214–221 (2018).
- 57. Lyu, J. et al. Pseudotargeted MS method for the sensitive analysis of protein phosphorylation in protein complexes. *Anal. Chem.* **90**, 6214–6221 (2018).

- 58. Cheng, X. et al. Ammonium fluoride-induced stabilization for anion attachment mass spectrometry: facilitating the pseudotargeted profiling of bile acids submetabolome. *Anal. Chim. Acta* **1081**, 120–130 (2019).
- 59. Yan, Z. X. & Yan, R. Increase the accessibility and scale of targeted metabolomics: construction of a human urinary metabolome-wide multiple reaction monitoring library using directly-coupled reversed-phase and hydrophilic interaction chromatography. *Anal. Chim. Acta* **894**, 65–75 (2015).
- 60. Ye, H. et al. Stepped MSAll Relied Transition (SMART): an approach to rapidly determine optimal multiple reaction monitoring mass spectrometry parameters for small molecules. *Anal. Chim. Acta* **907**, 60–68 (2016).
- 61. Zhou, J. et al. Workflow development for targeted lipidomic quantification using parallel reaction monitoring on a quadrupole-time of flight mass spectrometry. *Anal. Chim. Acta* **972**, 62–72 (2017).
- 62. Yang, W. et al. Exploring chemical basis of toxicity reduction for processed roots of *Stellera chamaejasme* L. using ultraperformance liquid chromatography-triple quadrupole tandem mass spectrometry. *Int. J. Anal. Chem.* **2019**, 4854728 (2019).
- 63. Zhu, Q. F. et al. Analysis of liposoluble carboxylic acids metabolome in human serum by stable isotope labeling coupled with liquid chromatography-mass spectrometry. J. Chromatogr. A 1460, 100–109 (2016).
- Wang, Y. et al. An integrated strategy to improve data acquisition and metabolite identification by time-staggered ion lists in UHPLC/Q-TOF MS-based metabolomics. *J. Pharm. Biomed. Anal.* 157, 171–179 (2018).
- 65. Xu, J. et al. Development of a metabolic pathway-based pseudo-targeted metabolomics method using liquid chromatography coupled with mass spectrometry. *Talanta* **192**, 160–168 (2019).
- 66. Luo, P. et al. Multiple reaction monitoring-ion pair finder: a systematic approach to transform nontargeted mode to pseudotargeted mode for metabolomics study based on liquid chromatography-mass spectrometry. *Anal. Chem.* 87, 5050–5055 (2015).
- 67. Gillet, L. C. et al. Targeted data extraction of the MS/MS spectra generated by data-independent acquisition: a new concept for consistent and accurate proteome analysis. *Mol. Cell. Proteom.* **11**, O111.016717 (2012).
- Wang, L. et al. Ion-pair selection method for pseudotargeted metabolomics based on SWATH MS Acquisition and its application in differential metabolite discovery of type 2 diabetes. *Anal. Chem.* 90, 11401–11408 (2018).
- 69. Zhao, Y. et al. Investigation of the relationship between the metabolic profile of tobacco leaves in different planting regions and climate factors using a pseudotargeted method based on gas chromatography/mass spectrometry. *J. Proteome Res.* **12**, 5072–5083 (2013).
- 70. Xuan, Q. et al. Development of a high coverage pseudotargeted lipidomics method based on ultra-high performance liquid chromatography-mass spectrometry. *Anal. Chem.* **90**, 7608–7616 (2018).
- 71. Muguruma, Y. et al. Widely targeted metabolomics of Alzheimer's disease postmortem cerebrospinal fluid based on 9-fluorenylmethyl chloroformate derivatized ultra-high performance liquid chromatography tandem mass spectrometry. J. Chromatogr. B Anal. Technol. Biomed. Life Sci. 1091, 53–66 (2018).
- 72. Sawada, Y. et al. Widely targeted metabolomics based on large-scale MS/MS data for elucidating metabolite accumulation patterns in plants. *Plant Cell Physiol.* **50**, 37–47 (2009).
- 73. Chen, W. et al. A novel integrated method for large-scale detection, identification, and quantification of widely targeted metabolites: application in the study of rice metabolomics. *Mol. Plant* **6**, 1769–1780 (2013).
- 74. Chen, W. et al. Genome-wide association analyses provide genetic and biochemical insights into natural variation in rice metabolism. *Nat. Genet.* **46**, 714–721 (2014).
- 75. Wang, D. et al. Identification of nutritional components in black sesame determined by widely targeted metabolomics and traditional Chinese medicines. *Molecules* **23**, 1180 (2018).
- 76. Matsuda, F. et al. MS/MS spectral tag-based annotation of non-targeted profile of plant secondary metabolites. *Plant J.* 57, 555–577 (2009).
- Domingo-Almenara, X. et al. XCMS-MRM and METLIN-MRM: a cloud library and public resource for targeted analysis of small molecules. *Nat. Methods* 15, 681–684 (2018).
- Simon-Manso, Y. C. Metabolite profiling of a NIST Standard Reference Material for human plasma (SRM 1950): GC-MS, LC-MS, NMR, and clinical laboratory analyses, libraries, and web-based resources. *Anal. Chem.* 85, 11725–11731 (2013).
- 79. Huan, T. et al. DnsID in MyCompoundID for rapid identification of dansylated amine- and phenolcontaining metabolites in LC-MS-based metabolomics. *Anal. Chem.* **87**, 9838–9845 (2015).
- 80. US Food and Drug Administration. Bioanalytical method validation guidance for industry (2018). https://www.fda.gov/regulatory-information/search-fda-guidance-documents/bioanalytical-method-validation-guidance-industry (accessed 18 April 2020).
- MacLean, B. et al. Skyline: an open source document editor for creating and analyzing targeted proteomics experiments. *Bioinformatics* 26, 966–968 (2010).
- Zhao, X. et al. Comprehensive strategy to construct in-house database for accurate and batch identification of small molecular metabolites. *Anal. Chem.* **90**, 7635–7643 (2018).
- Kessner, D. et al. ProteoWizard: open source software for rapid proteomics tools development. *Bioinformatics* 24, 2534–2536 (2008).
- 84. Smith, C. A. et al. XCMS: processing mass spectrometry data for metabolite profiling using nonlinear peak alignment, matching, and identification. *Anal. Chem.* **78**, 779–787 (2006).

- 85. Kuhl, C. et al. CAMERA: an integrated strategy for compound spectra extraction and annotation of liquid chromatography/mass spectrometry data sets. *Anal. Chem.* **84**, 283–289 (2012).
- 86. Dunn, W. B. et al. Procedures for large-scale metabolic profiling of serum and plasma using gas chromatography and liquid chromatography coupled to mass spectrometry. *Nat. Protoc.* 6, 1060–1083 (2011).
- 87. Gika, H. G. et al. Within-day reproducibility of an HPLC-MS-based method for metabonomic analysis: application to human urine. J. Proteome Res. 6, 3291-3303 (2007).

#### Acknowledgements

The study is supported by the foundations (21435006 and 21974139) from the National Natural Science Foundation of China, the National Key Research and Development Program of China (2017YFC0906900) and the innovation program (DICP TMSR201601) of science and research from the DICP, CAS.

# Author contributions

G.X. and X.Z. developed the concept, designed experiments and optimized the manuscript. F.Z. performed the experiments, analyzed the data and wrote the manuscript. Z.Z. provided the software named 'MRM-Ion Pair Finder', and F.Z. modified this software. L.W., W.L. and Q.W. gave technical support and conceptual advice.

# **Competing interests**

The authors declare no competing interests.

#### Additional information

Supplementary information is available for this paper at https://doi.org/10.1038/s41596-020-0341-5.

Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to G.X.

Peer review information Nature Protocols thanks Thomas Wilson and the other, anonymous, reviewer(s) for their contribution to the peer review of this work.

Reprints and permissions information is available at www.nature.com/reprints.

Publisher's note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Received: 4 September 2019; Accepted: 20 April 2020; Published online: 24 June 2020

## **Related links**

#### Key references using this protocol

Li, Y., et al. J. Chromatogr. A **1255**, 228–236 (2012): https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/abs/pii/ S0021967312002026

Chen, S., et al. Anal. Chem. **85**, 8326-8333 (2013): https://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/ac4016787 Luo, P., et al. Anal. Chem. **87**, 5050-5055 (2015): https://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acs.analchem.5b00615 Shao, Y., et al. J. Proteome Res. **14**, 906-916 (2015): https://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/pr500973d Luo, P., et al. Hepatology **67**, 662-675 (2018): https://aasldpubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1002/ hep.29561

# Key data used in this protocol

Luo, P., et al. Anal. Chem. 87, 5050-5055 (2015): https://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acs.analchem.5b00615

# natureresearch

Corresponding author(s): Guowang Xu

Last updated by author(s): Sep 4, 2019

# **Reporting Summary**

Nature Research wishes to improve the reproducibility of the work that we publish. This form provides structure for consistency and transparency in reporting. For further information on Nature Research policies, see <u>Authors & Referees</u> and the <u>Editorial Policy Checklist</u>.

# Statistics

For	all st	atistical analyses, confirm that the following items are present in the figure legend, table legend, main text, or Methods section.
n/a	Cor	nfirmed
	$\square$	The exact sample size (n) for each experimental group/condition, given as a discrete number and unit of measurement
	$\square$	A statement on whether measurements were taken from distinct samples or whether the same sample was measured repeatedly
$\ge$		The statistical test(s) used AND whether they are one- or two-sided Only common tests should be described solely by name; describe more complex techniques in the Methods section.
$\boxtimes$		A description of all covariates tested
$\boxtimes$		A description of any assumptions or corrections, such as tests of normality and adjustment for multiple comparisons
$\boxtimes$		A full description of the statistical parameters including central tendency (e.g. means) or other basic estimates (e.g. regression coefficient) AND variation (e.g. standard deviation) or associated estimates of uncertainty (e.g. confidence intervals)
$\boxtimes$		For null hypothesis testing, the test statistic (e.g. <i>F</i> , <i>t</i> , <i>r</i> ) with confidence intervals, effect sizes, degrees of freedom and <i>P</i> value noted Give <i>P</i> values as exact values whenever suitable.
$\boxtimes$		For Bayesian analysis, information on the choice of priors and Markov chain Monte Carlo settings
$\boxtimes$		For hierarchical and complex designs, identification of the appropriate level for tests and full reporting of outcomes
$\boxtimes$		Estimates of effect sizes (e.g. Cohen's d, Pearson's r), indicating how they were calculated
	1	Our web collection on statistics for biologists contains articles on many of the points above.

# Software and code

Policy information ab	out <u>availability of computer code</u>
Data collection	UHPLC-HRMS vendor software which details was described in manuscript
Data analysis	We use XCMS (version 3.6.1) and CAMERA (version 1.40.0) for peak detection and annotation. MRM-Ion Pair Finder (version 2.0) is used to define MRM transitions. Skyline and UHPLC-TQMS vendor software are used for peak integration

For manuscripts utilizing custom algorithms or software that are central to the research but not yet described in published literature, software must be made available to editors/reviewers. We strongly encourage code deposition in a community repository (e.g. GitHub). See the Nature Research guidelines for submitting code & software for further information.

# Data

Policy information about availability of data

All manuscripts must include a <u>data availability statement</u>. This statement should provide the following information, where applicable:

- Accession codes, unique identifiers, or web links for publicly available datasets
- A list of figures that have associated raw data
- A description of any restrictions on data availability

MRM-Ion Pair Finder v2.0 and example data are freely available in supplementary software.

# Field-specific reporting

Please select the one below that is the best fit for your research. If you are not sure, read the appropriate sections before making your selection.

Life sciences

Behavioural & social sciences

Ecological, evolutionary & environmental sciences

# Life sciences study design

All studies must disclose on these points even when the disclosure is negative.

Sample size	For the application of pseudotargeted method, childhood obesity samples were used which were classified as normal-weight, overweight or obese according to their body mass index (BMI), and each group contained 30 samples
Data exclusions	No exclusions
Replication	No replication
Randomization	Samples are randomly analyzed in the UHPLC-TQMS
Blinding	They were not blinded

# Reporting for specific materials, systems and methods

We require information from authors about some types of materials, experimental systems and methods used in many studies. Here, indicate whether each material, system or method listed is relevant to your study. If you are not sure if a list item applies to your research, read the appropriate section before selecting a response.

# Materials & experimental systems

M	let	hc	ds
			u J

n/a	Involved in the study	n/a	Involved in the study
$\boxtimes$	Antibodies	$\boxtimes$	ChIP-seq
$\boxtimes$	Eukaryotic cell lines	$\boxtimes$	Flow cytometry
$\boxtimes$	Palaeontology	$\boxtimes$	MRI-based neuroimaging
$\boxtimes$	Animals and other organisms		
	Human research participants		
$\boxtimes$	Clinical data		

# Human research participants

Policy information about studi	es involving human research participants
Population characteristics	For childhood obesity study, participants were healthy students aged 14 to 16 years with body mass indexes (BMIs) ranging between 14.6 and 41.9 kg/m2. Each group has 18 males and 12 females.
Recruitment	The participants were recruited from Bacui high school of Shenyang and they signed informed consent form.
Ethics oversight	The study was approved by the ethics committee of China Medical University

Note that full information on the approval of the study protocol must also be provided in the manuscript.